Suppose we have in hand only fragments of accounts written on this city, which taken together cannot be regarded even as a history; suppose we succeed in collecting items alleged to be its remains from all over the world, including wigs, cheap watches, fake high fashion goods, and retouched landscape postcards; yet so long as we cannot find in the boundless ocean the island on which this city was once built, we cannot rule out the possibility that people systematically wove a web of deceit around it, created counterfeit documents, and forged a nonexistent past.

—Dung Kai-Cheung

At a busy intersection in Kowloon, Hong Kong, an unusual shop stages ephemeral retail acts. On any given day you can find a range of items—fake designer handbags, universal chargers, Dr. Dre headphones, life insurance—grey goods that pass through a parallel economy, casting those who peddle them in daily performance. In a city of hypervisibility, skyscrapers etch ever further into the horizon, their surfaces populated by LED screens projecting an impermeable image of a bright and optimistic future. Yet, in a space of such capital velocity—breeding large income gaps, hyper-dense living conditions, accelerated trade flows—it is the conditions of asymmetry and the ingenuities they engender that provide substance for thinking. What characterizes Hong Kong is less its stable physical forms or sovereign subjects, but rather the interstices where the movements and activities of each converge. As much as bodies and structures are constituted by their physical attributes, and by the space they take up, so too are they defined by their traces and shadows.

Shadows are migrant entities. Inchoate and diffuse, they elude the reductive binaries of black and white, good versus evil, “inclusion” versus “exclusion,” and therefore wage a challenge to apparent truths of visibility and legitimacy. Light and dark, in the case of the shadow, are not opposites, but rather operate at the threshold where they merge, which determines both the strength and proximity of the light source and the density of the matter onto which it is projected. Through this interaction the thing-like nature of the shadow is revealed, while setting into relief the matter which has cast it. In a relationship of mutual constituency, the shadow proves to be substantial while subtly undermining stability; wherever there is matter, its double both dramatizes and leaves clues. Thus the shadow’s contours can be used to trace the processes and errant beings that uphold dominant structures, and further scrutinize these foundations and relations.

In cities, for example, the shadow can encompass the many shades of migrancy. This may refer to migrant peoples, those without rights, disenfranchised urban citizens the world over, and spaces—not only those architectures facilitating a migrant population’s movements, but structures whose meanings shift according to circumstance. Often, the two work in tandem. But such conditions of deprivation are also those of filtering and differentiation. Hong Kong as a facilitator plays host to this entire spectrum: those subjects beneath bridges, along walkways, in mixed-use shops—these brief but constant moments are implicit in the landscape, and perhaps best traced through their movements.
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“Suppose we have in hand only fragments of accounts written on this city, which taken together cannot be regarded even as a history; suppose we succeed in collecting items alleged to be its remains from all over the world, including wigs, cheap watches, fake high fashion goods, and retouched landscape postcards; yet so long as we cannot find in the boundless ocean the island on which this city was once built, we cannot rule out the possibility that people systematically wove a web of deceit around it, created counterfeit documents, and forged a nonexistent past.”

—Dung Kai-Cheung

At a busy intersection in Kowloon, Hong Kong, an unusual shop stages ephemeral retail acts. On any given day you can find a range of items—fake designer handbags, universal chargers, Dr. Dre headphones, life insurance—grey goods that pass through a parallel economy, casting those who peddle them in daily performance. In a city of hypervisibility, skyscrapers etch ever further into the horizon, their surfaces populated by LED screens projecting an impermeable image of a bright and optimistic future. Yet, in a space of such capital velocity—breeding large income gaps, hyper-dense living conditions, accelerated trade flows—it is the conditions of asymmetry and the ingenuities they engender that provide substance for thinking. What characterizes Hong Kong is less its stable physical forms or sovereign subjects, but rather the interstices where the movements and activities of each converge. As much as bodies and structures are constituted by their physical attributes, and by the space they take up, so too are they defined by their traces and shadows.

Shadows are migrant entities. Inchoate and diffuse, they elude the reductive binaries of black and white, good versus evil, “inclusion” versus “exclusion,” and therefore wage a challenge to apparent truths of visibility and legitimacy. Light and dark, in the case of the shadow, are not opposites, but rather operate at the threshold where they merge, which determines both the strength and proximity of the light source and the density of the matter onto which it is projected. Through this interaction the thing-like nature of the shadow is revealed, while setting into relief the matter which has cast it. In a relationship of mutual constituency, the shadow proves to be substantial while subtly undermining stability; wherever there is matter, its double both dramatizes and leaves clues. Thus the shadow’s contours can be used to trace the processes and errant beings that uphold dominant structures, and further scrutinize these foundations and relations.

In cities, for example, the shadow can encompass the many shades of migrancy. This may refer to migrant peoples, those without rights, disenfranchised urban citizens the world over, and spaces—not only those architectures facilitating a migrant population’s movements, but structures whose meanings shift according to circumstance. Often, the two work in tandem. But such conditions of deprivation are also those of filtering and differentiation. Hong Kong as a facilitator plays host to this entire spectrum: those subjects beneath bridges, along walkways, in mixed-use shops—these brief but constant moments are implicit in the landscape, and perhaps best traced through their movements.
Anticipating the return of Hong Kong to its alleged motherland in 1997, Ackbar Abbas noted a tendency among Hong Kongers to misrecognize and thereby overlook their own cultural tenets under a regime of hypervisibility. Images that presented themselves as immutable phenomena, and literature, he observed, depicted a prosperous city with a colonial past, with too-obvious signifiers reconciling historical complexities via their replacements or substitutes. “The more abstract the landscape, the more important the image becomes,” Abbas wrote, articulating the paradox of the emerging image as a concrete form of abstraction. Drawing on Paul Virilio’s observations of a sensory overload resulting from contemporary conditions of technological speed, Abbas questioned the visual entailing an unrepresentable, inhabiting a “space of disappearance.” A spatial history of Hong Kong, according to Abbas, would evolve into a condition of ceaseless ebbing. Similarly, the shadow might be seen lurking in those shifting spaces, but characterized less as a phenomenon of disappearance than of persistence. Strategically located in the South China Sea, Hong Kong was cast in the image of the former empire, with an aim to exploit its free-port status. The unique position bred by this former empire, with an aim to exploit the legal and customs regulations. In Chinese, the term for these parallel goods, 水貨 (shui huo), which translates to “water goods,” is used to refer to a tactic employed by traders in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region, where imports were suspended in an area of shallow water until other traders came to retrieve them. Through this they would avoid declaration and thereby evade the required taxes. But perhaps the term also refers to a condition of ceaseless ebbing. The storefront on Waterloo Road exemplifies the quotidian nature of “front shop, back factory,” a mantra of globalization characterizing Hong Kong’s relationship to the wider PRD region. This area, consisting of eleven major cities (of which Hong Kong, Dongguan, Foshan, Shenzhen, and Guangzhou are the five largest) is one of the fastest growing urban regions in the world, the heartland of manufacturing complexes, logistics hubs, and financial centres. The SAR of Hong Kong thus serves as a front end to the exploitation of the “factory of the world,” renowned for its manufacturing capability. While many goods travel, for some the distance is a short border-crossing away. A continuous trickle of material surplus from the Pearl River Delta makes its way across the border at Lo Wu, and in Hong Kong becomes the daily deal on the street. At the shadow shop, a nightly delivery arrives, and shutters close to open again around midnight. A new display, a sales recital: each day a new act. Despite participating in overall state aims or trade, commerce, and accumulation, this business scheme requires know-how and the keen ability to match future trends with price point, an entrepreneurial sleight of hand. The shop exists as a living organism: vendors share the space, changing hands every twenty-four hours, avoiding exorbitant overhead costs and skipping risk. Anticipating rain, one rushes an order of surplus umbrellas, while another hedges her bets on the growing popularity of Korean beauty products (“whiter skin glows brighter, bringing you prosperity”), and through these entrepreneurial decisions, passersby witness the world through fleeting global trends. This retail blur is the image of the city: a typology in transition.

Two steel-corseted towers lift the torso of the HSBC headquarters above ground. Below them, politicians, artists, students, musicians, farmers, and teachers form a provocative para-architecture of tents and tables. Assembled in the name of social and economic justice, the proponents of Occupy Central were certainly not the first to gather in this shade. Once, this privately owned space was deemed public, a promised domain meant to occlude the slow and insidious recession of common ground in the city. The movements of Occupy Central saw the chatting and picnicking of domestic workers mingle with fervent political discussion, an unusual convergence resulting from distinct provisions. With its construction in the name of social and economic justice, the HSBC building was approved on the premise that it would respond to the need for public space, a design challenge that Foster & Partners honored by raising the building above ground, dedicating nearly the entire street level to the public. Architecture, while providing space for the tidings of finance, also gained new ascribed meaning in its role as shelter for these political discussions, a conflict of appearances that bred corporate discomfort. After evicting the 79-days occupation, HSBC inaugurated a public exhibition program with the aim of showing the illustrious arc of Hong Kong’s financial sector and the key role HSBC has played within it—a display effectively blocking off the space from public use. Now, where there was once daily occupation, art, and alternative agency among citizens, the exhibition acts as a stalling and sterilizing agent. The temporal and spatial characteristics of migrant labour can be hard to trace, which is why a shadow method can be effective. The growing prevalence of informatized sovereignty signifies the loopholes the state optimizes and embellishes. Shadow labour is in tenuous negotiation with the legal structures that frame its silhouette. Migrant domestic work—a typically direct, money-under-the-table point of entry into the labour market on arrival to a new country—is one such example of a relationship between a transnational with its host country. Traditionally viewed as women’s work, domestic care has little worker protection. Certain forms of state intervention in the case of domestic work (despite being to supposedly protect workers) often characterize a fraught relationship between the state and this floating labour market. For Hong Kong, a city with one of the densest domestic worker populations in the world, the analogy of light intensity and shadow is fitting: amongst other structural and legal problems with the domestic worker visa in Hong Kong, the “two-week rule” is as fascinating as it is problematic. The rule requires foreign domestic workers to leave Hong Kong within two weeks of an employment contract’s termination. It affects a certain transparent compliance; an otherworldly role where individuals risk fines and imprisonment, amongst other sanctions, while for many who overstay retreating to the shadows is preferable. Bodies cast shadows. Corporations and governments, too, are no stranger to their presence—indeed, shadows are intrinsic to their functioning. Hong Kong is founded on the backs of shadow citizens, the criteria of sovereignty shifting according to convenience. While citizenship is a commodity for some, the reality for the visa-restricted figure of the migrant worker is one in which they must form their own modes and mechanisms in order to stay afloat. Seen as “derivative figures,” the migrant is often positioned in contrast to the “stable denizen,” and such shadow characters remain a challenge for nations (of the interests of nation-states and multinationals, for whom a transient labour force is necessary for their own statecraft. The requirements of citizenship thus run antithetical to the logic of capitalist accumulation, whereby commodities attain value via circulation. While goods are permitted to circulate freely,
imaginary. Shadowplay thus takes the “wigs, cheap watches, fake fashion goods, and retouched landscape postcards” as fragmented evidence of the structure of these pervasive yet otherwise obscure passages.
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